UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/576,181	07/26/2006	Astrid Mauler-Machnik	CS8795/BCS033095	7330
34469 BAYER CROP	7590 12/05/200 SCIENCE LP	EXAMINER		
Patent Departm		BROOKS, KRISTIE LATRICE		
	ANDER DRIVE RIANGLE PARK, NC	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1616	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/05/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/576,181	MAULER-MACHNIK ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
KRISTIF I. BROOKS	1616		

		KRISTIE L. BROOKS	1616	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence addre	ss
THE REPL	LY FILED <u>17 November 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
appli appli	reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on ication, applicant must timely file one of the following ication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appetontinued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Cods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, whi with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3	ch places the 3) a Request
a)	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(1)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection.	
have been f under 37 CF set forth in (may reduce	of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extending is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the state (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office laters any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropriate inally set in the final Office a	extension fee action; or (2) as
filing	Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter ce of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi ENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the a	
(a) [(b) [(c) [proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but They raise new issues that would require further cor They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below They are not deemed to place the application in betappeal; and/or	nsideration and/or search (see NO w); ter form for appeal by materially red	ΓE below); ducing or simplifying the	
4.	☐ They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PT	OL-324).
6. Nev	olicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): why proposed or amended claim(s) would be all allowable claim(s).			canceling the
how The Clair Clair Clair	purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: m(s) allowed: m(s) objected to: m(s) rejected: m(s) withdrawn from consideration:		l be entered and an expl	anation of
	T OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
beca	affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bur ause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
ente	affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing red because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o ving a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails to	
REQUEST	e affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation FFOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER e request for reconsideration has been considered but		•	
See	e Continuation Sheet. te the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (Condition for allowance	because.
13. 🔲 Oth	ner:			
	R. Richter/ sory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1616	12/04/08		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the affidavit submitted on 11/17/2008 by applicant is not persuasive. Applicant has provided evidence of synergy with respect to the combination of spiroxamine, prothioconazole and tebuconazole at specific ratios (see Examples 1-3 and the results in the affidavit). Thus, satisfying the claimed instant ratio recited in claim 10. However, the evidence provided by Applicant is not commesurate in scope with respect to broader claims 9 and 11-15, which do not require a particular amount or ratio for each component.